Effective Treatment of Craniosynostosis and Deformational Plagiocephaly Improves with Early Diagnosis:

Watchful Waiting May Not Be the Best Option for Evaluating Abnormal Head Shape in Infants
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Deformation plagiocephaly (DP) and craniosynostosis (CS) are the leading causes of abnormal head shape in infants worldwide. Accurate differentiation of these two entities is important because their treatment is entirely different. Prompt diagnosis is important because recommended treatments for both DP and CS are most effective when started early.

Medical teaching in the 20th century often suggested watchful waiting of abnormal infantile head shapes, with referral to a specialist only if the head shape did not improve during the first year. The advantages of minimally invasive surgical techniques to treat CS encourages providers to change that practice pattern because these techniques are best utilized in young infants, typically between 2 and 6 months of age.

Here we describe the typical features of DP and CS; we emphasize ways to distinguish them, and we outline the typical treatment options.

Common Head Shape Anomalies in Infants

Normal infantile head shape can vary widely and is largely influenced by familial tendencies and genetic heritage. In this paper, we describe the most common head shape abnormalities that fall outside cultural norms: deformational plagiocephaly (DP) and craniosynostosis (CS).

DP is now the most common infantile head abnormality. The 1992 “back to sleep” program resulted in a 40% reduction in sudden infant death syndrome but there has been a concomitant dramatic increase in DP. DP was estimated to occur in approximately 5% of babies prior to 1992, but recent studies estimate a 21% to 46% prevalence in infants less than 1 year of age, depending on the criteria used to define DP.

CS, which occurs in approximately 1 in every 2,000 to 2,500 live births, is much less common than DP. Differentiating DS from CS can be difficult for health care providers who don’t specialize in head shape abnormalities, especially when the deluge of patients with DP overwhelms a health care system’s ability to adequately assess abnormal head shapes.

Figure 1: Skull growth dictated by Virchow’s Law, with skull growth perpendicular to cranial sutures. In CS, head growth is decreased perpendicular to the affected suture, with compensatory overgrowth at other suture, resulting in typical patterns of head growth.
Differentiating DP from CS

DP, also referred to as posterior positional plagiocephaly, positional molding, occipital plagiocephaly or plagiocephaly without synostosis, is usually not present at birth, is often associated with torticollis, and results in a flat posterior skull, with associated anterior displacement of the ipsilateral ear and forehead (Figures 1 and 2). CS is typically present at birth, is not usually associated with torticollis, and results in a widely variable but predictable pattern of head shape abnormalities.

Skull x-rays and/or CT scans were previously relied upon to differentiate DP from CS, but the sheer volume of DP patients and concern about radiation exposure in infants and children make these imaging studies impractical CS screening tools for all patients with abnormal head shapes.

Fortunately, patients with CS have a typical appearance, based on the observation of Virchow in the mid-19th century, that skull growth is perpendicular to each cranial suture. Early cranial suture closure therefore leads to predictable head shapes, which are illustrated in Figure 1. Multiple suture craniosynostosis also occurs, in the presence or absence of an associated syndrome, with resulting head and face shapes that are characteristic and readily differentiated from DP.

Early closure of midline cranial sutures is differentiated from DP because the resulting head shape is not posteriorly asymmetric, a hallmark of DP. Sagittal craniosynostosis, by far the most common form of CS, results in a long, narrow head with a shortened biparietal diameter (dolichocephaly) as a result of early closure of the sagittal suture, with compensatory growth of the remaining sutures resulting in frontal and occipital bossing (Figure 1). Early closure of the metopic suture leads to a triangular head shape (trigoncephaly) and close-set eyes (hypotelorism), features also not seen in DP.

CS that results from early closure of the coronal and/or lambdoid sutures is more difficult to differentiate from DP because unilateral closure of one of these sutures leads to an oblong head shape, which can be mistaken for DP. Coronal CS is more easily differentiated from DP because the anterior skull is severely affected, the posterior skull is often relatively spared, and there are associated severe asymmetries of the orbital rim and the nose (tip of the nose deviates away from the affected coronal suture), all features that are quite different from the mild forehead asymmetry typically seen in DP (Figure 1).

Differentiating lambdoid CS from DP is more difficult because early closure of a single lambdoid suture results in flattening of the back of the skull, which can appear similar to DP. However, important morphologic differences can help the clinician differentiate the two entities in most circumstances. Early closure of the lambdoid suture leads to posterior and inferior displacement of the ipsilateral ear, the opposite of the situation in DP. As a result, the head shape, when viewed from the top, is similar to a parallelogram in DP and trapezoidal in lambdoid CS (Figure 2). In addition, the head of a child with lambdoid CS often looks “windswept” when viewed from the front, meaning that the contralateral parietal bone tends to be higher than the affected side.

Lambdoid craniosynostosis is quite rare, comprising less than 2% of all cases of CS, resulting in only a small proportion of infants with posterior skull flattening being afflicted with lambdoid CS. Even though clinical features can often differentiate DP from CS, a simple skull x-ray may be necessary, even for the specialist, to secure the proper diagnosis.
There is a common misperception that early fontanel closure should be used as an indicator of CS. The anterior fontanel does close early in some forms of CS, especially those with multiple suture CS or a genetic syndrome, but the fontanel can close at the typical period of development in infants with CS, even those involving the sagittal suture. Similarly, head circumference measurements are not typically reduced in most patients with simple, single suture CS, which make up the majority of children with CS. In fact, in patients with isolated sagittal CS, which accounts for over half of all patients with CS, the head circumference tends to be larger than average because the skull takes on a long, narrow appearance in order to accommodate the normal underlying brain growth. Ridging along the metopic suture, without the associated trigoncephaly or hypotelorism of metopic CS, is often a normal variation that does not require treatment. 5
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**Figure 2:** Clinical differentiation of lambdoid craniosynostosis from posterior positional plagiocephaly.

### Evaluation and Treatment of Deformational Plagiocephaly

**Evaluation of DP**

The clinical presentation and appearance of most patients with DP is fairly uniform. The typical child develops unilateral posterior skull flattening within the first two months of life, which progressively worsens and is often associated with anterior displacement of the ear, bossing of the ipsilateral frontal bone, and sometimes facial asymmetry. When examined carefully, many young infants with DP also have associated “wry neck”, with limited range of neck motion or torticollis. 11

Most infants with DP have otherwise normal examinations, but a carefully evaluation to search for other associated conditions should be performed. Normal infants with benign familial macrocranium are more likely to develop DP, but DP is also more common is premature infants and patients with developmental delay, congenital spine or craniovertebral junction abnormalities.
Treatment of DP

If recognized early, DP can be effectively treated by keeping the baby’s head from resting on the flattened area as much as possible, by promoting supervised tummy time, and by encouraging neck stretching exercises. Physical therapy evaluation and treatment may be necessary in some patients. With these measures, once babies are able to sit, crawl and walk on their own, their DP has usually improved significantly, with continued rounding of the head occurring over the ensuing years, resulting in most children having minimal residual deformity by school age. 12

Skull molding helmets used to reshape the infant’s head have been used for decades, but their widespread use has been questioned because DP is a condition that will improve with the aforementioned techniques in the majority of patients. Cranial orthotic helmets can certainly remold an infant’s head, a concept that was even appreciated in ancient civilizations, but the necessity of using a helmet for a condition that tends to resolve has been carefully scrutinized recently. 11 A recent prospective trial in the Netherlands, where 84 babies with DP were randomly assigned to receive helmets, showed that there was no improvement in head shape at two years in babies who were treated with helmet therapy compared to those who only had the typical repositioning and exercise treatments that are commonly employed. 17 Like all clinical studies, this study has limitations, including the exclusion of babies that have the most severe forms of DP. Until further confirmatory research is completed, we generally recommend helmet therapy only for patients with moderate to severe forms of DP or those who have not responded to typical treatment options. Helmet therapy usually lasts 3 to 6 months and is best performed between approximately 4 and 12 months, when the skull has greater malleability and growth potential.

Evaluation and Treatment of Craniosynostosis

Evaluation of CS

CS involving multiple sutures or those forms associated with syndromes result in characteristic patterns of skull and facial deformity that are easily recognized. Patients with these uncommon diagnoses are often recognized shortly after birth and are referred to neurosurgeons, plastic surgeons and other craniofacial surgeons early in life.

Diagnosing CS in the much more common, single-suture, non-syndromic baby is more nuanced, but a clear understanding of the patterns of presentation outlined in Figure 1 allows the primary care provider to recognize most patients with CS. 7 In addition to pattern recognition, palpation of the suture in question can aid in the diagnosis: a prematurely closed cranial suture is immobile and a ridge of bone is often palpable.

If CS is suspected, referral to a neurosurgeon, plastic surgeon or some other craniofacial specialist is recommended before x-rays are performed when such specialists are available, in order to minimize unnecessary radiation exposure in children in whom the specialist can rule out CS by examination. When such specialists are not readily available, a simple skull x-ray series usually secures the diagnosis. 10

Other radiology studies that don’t expose children to radiation have been explored, but none are widely being used as screening tools at this time. MRI can confirm CS in specialized centers, but it is not recommended as a screening tool at this time. 6 Cranial ultrasound has been shown more promise, but its usefulness is highly dependent on the familiarity of individual technologists and radiologists, and is also not widely used as a screening tool at this time. 14
Surgery remains the treatment of choice for CS, but the surgical options have changed and improved in the past 20 years. CS surgery during the second half of the 20th century consisted primarily of extensive open procedures to remove portions of the skull, orbits or face and to reconstruct these structures in an aesthetically pleasing way, while expanding the skull to allow greater intracranial volume. These open operations are generally performed on children between 6 and 18 months of age, they last many hours, often required a transfusion and require multiple days of hospitalization. Results of these procedures are often good and they remain the mainstay of CS surgical treatment in patients who are not diagnosed early in life.

More recently, minimally invasive techniques have become the treatment of choice for infants with CS in many specialized craniofacial centers worldwide. These techniques involve minimal bone removal in a young infant, typically less than 6 month of age, with subsequent gradual skull and facial remolding using helmets or internal springs. Compared to the traditional operations of the late 20th century, these minimally invasive procedures are performed through much smaller incisions, result in minimal blood loss, usually don’t require a blood transfusion and typically involve only an overnight hospital stay. These advantages are offset by lack of an immediate improvement in head shape, which often requires many months while the orthotic helmet or spring device slowly changes the child’s head and facial shape as the baby grows.

The most commonly performed minimally invasive technique for CS involves the endoscope assisted removal of the affected suture followed by cranial molding with an orthotic helmet, which is similar to helmets utilized in cases of severe DP (Figure 3). Since its introduction approximately 20 years ago, this technique has been shown to be very effective in treating all types of single suture CS and its application to multiple suture or syndromic cases has also been explored.

Figure 3: Positioning and operative technique for minimally invasive surgery for sagittal craniosynostosis. Minimal bone is removed through small incisions during an operation that lasts less than an hour. Blood loss is usually minimal and only an overnight hospital stay is typically required.
Present and future research will further characterize outcome differences for various surgical techniques. At this time, many neurosurgical CS specialists consider minimally invasive techniques the treatment of choice for the majority of patients with CS: those with single suture CS who are diagnosed before 4 to 6 months of age. Minimally invasive techniques have also shown promising results when applied to patients with syndromic or multiple suture CS, but craniofacial surgical teams still treat these patients, as well as patients diagnosed later in life, with traditional open operations.
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